

Progress of Neural Machine Translation with Memory Network

Yang FENG

Jan 3, 2016

1 Baseline

Improve the baseline by

1. rnn to bidirectional_rnn;
2. SGD optimizer to Adadelta optimization;
3. initializing the initial attention state;
4. separating hidden_edim and hidden_units (in the baseline, they share a common parameter);
5. changing the frequency of saving models.

To do:

change one-best greedy search to **beam search**.

Cannot get reasonable results of baseline, shown in Table 1. Investigated in terms of

1. output order chaos;
2. file format.

Fix this problem by using greater learning rate of 0.5, and results are shown in Table 2.

2 NMT+MN

2.1 Adding attended lexical translation to the decoder

2.2 Investigate

1. learning rate, shown in Table 2.2

2. the placeholder for the target translation of the source words whose target translation is less than trans-limit, shown in Table 2.2
 v0: all use PAD_ID
 v1: use NULL_ID for words, and PAD_ID for PAD
 v2: all use NULL_ID
3. size of translations (trans-limit), shown in Table 2.2
 For each source word, the number of target translation words
4. training algorithm shown in Table 2.2

System	Speed (per epoch)	Dev (BLEU4)	Test (BLEU4)
nmt	84s	10.3	11.1 (49w epch)
nmt ⁺	108s	13.0	15.4 (26w epch)
nmt ⁺ +mn	180s	11.1	12.5 (30w epch)

Table 1: configuration: learning_rate=0.001, hidden_edim=310, hidden_units=310, batch_size=80, decay_learning_rate=0.99, SGD

System	Speed (per epoch)	Dev (BLEU4)	Test (BLEU4)
nmt (sgd)	84s	29.6	31.4 (28800 step)
nmt ⁺ (sgd)	108s	33.0	38.1 (34200 step)
nmt ⁺ +mn (ada) _v0	180s	30.6	36.0 (71400 step)

Table 2: configuration: learning_rate=0.5, hidden_edim=310, hidden_units=500, batch_size=80, decay_learning_rate=0.99

system	rate=0.001		rate=0.005	
	dev	test	dev	test
nmt ⁺	13.0	15.4 (26w epch)	33.0	38.1 (34200 step)
nmt ⁺ +mn	11.1	12.5 (30w epch)	30.6	36.0 (71400 step)

Table 3: results of different learning rate

translimit	1	2	10	
trainer	Adadelta	Adadelta	SGD	Adadelta
nmt ⁺ +mn-v0	30.6–36.0	–	–	–
nmt ⁺ +mn-v1	30.0–35.3	29.8–36.6	29.9–37.3	29.5–36.7
nmt ⁺ +mn-v2	–	30.0–38.1	30.5–37.8	30.4–35.8

Table 4: comparison of different configurations of **placeholder**, **trans-limit**, **training algorithm**.

nmt+

BLEU = 38.1, 74.8/47.5/31.6/20.5 (BP=0.978, ratio=0.979, hyp_len=3715, ref_len=3796) (34200)

v0-1-Ada

BLEU = 36.0, 73.3/45.4/29.3/18.9 (BP=0.976, ratio=0.976, hyp_len=3706, ref_len=3797) (71400)

v1-1-Ada

BLEU = 35.3, 72.1/43.5/28.0/18.7 (BP=0.986, ratio=0.986, hyp_len=3786, ref_len=3838) (34600)

v1-2-Ada

BLEU = 36.6, 72.8/45.1/29.5/20.0 (BP=0.982, ratio=0.982, hyp_len=3742, ref_len=3810) (103800)

v1-2-sgd

BLEU = 37.3, 73.8/45.8/30.4/20.3 (BP=0.981, ratio=0.981, hyp_len=3708, ref_len=3781) (48500)

v1-10-Ada

BLEU = 36.7, 72.7/45.8/29.6/19.0 (BP=0.993, ratio=0.993, hyp_len=3814, ref_len=3841) (15000)

v2-2-Ada

BLEU = 38.1, 74.0/46.5/31.0/21.1 (BP=0.984, ratio=0.984, hyp_len=3770, ref_len=3832) (73000)

v2-2-sgd

BLEU = 37.8, 73.2/45.5/30.3/21.3 (BP=0.987, ratio=0.987, hyp_len=3753, ref_len=3802) (50000)

v2-10-Ada

BLEU = 35.8, 73.3/45.0/28.9/18.2 (BP=0.987, ratio=0.987, hyp_len=3723, ref_len=3772) (25000)